HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE D.S.R.VARMA
AND
HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE P.SWAROOP REDDY

TAKEN UP WRIT PETITION NO.10491 OF 2006
Dated:08.06.2006

-

-

Kommu Adivamma

…Petitioner

The Station House Officer, Kollipara Police Station,
Guntur District and another.

…Respondents

HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE D.S.R.VARMA
AND
HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE P.SWAROOP REDDY

TAKEN UP WRIT PETITION NO.10491 OF 2006

-

-

ORAL ORDER: *(per Hon'ble Sri Justice D.S.R.Varma)*

Heard the learned Assistant Government Pleader, representing the learned Advocate General, appearing for the respondents.

2. This writ petition is taken up on the basis of a fax message, dated 24.05.2006, sent by one Kommu Adivamma, R/o Joolakallu Village, Piduguralla Mandal,

Guntur District, *inter alia*, complaining that her son was illegally taken into custody by the Police of Kollipara Police Station.

3. This Court issued notice to the respondents. In response to the said notice, the first respondent - Sub-Inspector of Police, Kollipara Police Station, Guntur District, filed a counter-affidavit, *inter alia*, stating that the alleged detenue was not detained either on 24.05.2006 or on any other subsequent date by the Police of Kollipara Police Station, since he was not required in any case in the jurisdiction of that Police Station. However, he stated that the alleged detenue was apprehended by the Police of Chilakaluripeta Town Police Station on 25.05.2006 at about 5 a.m., in connection with Crime No.87 of 2005 for the offences punishable under Sections-147, 148, 302, 395, 307 read with Sections-149, 120 of the Indian Penal Code, Section-25 of the Arms Act and Section-5 of Explosive Substances Act. It is further stated that basing on the information furnished by the said Police, the present counter-affidavit is filed. There are so many other details mentioned in the counter-affidavit, which are not worth mentioning. However, the fact remains that the alleged detenue was taken into custody by the Police of Chilakaluripeta Town Police Station for investigation into certain offences, under various provisions, said to have

been committed by him.

4. Having regard to the facts and circumstances stated in the counter-affidavit of the first respondent, we do not find any merit in this writ petition and the same is liable to be closed.

5. Accordingly, the writ petition is closed.

_____

D.S.R.VARMA, J

08.06.2006
DR

_____

P.SWAROOP REDDY, J